



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,841	10/23/2003	Mohammed Samji	MSFT121753	6685

28319 7590 09/20/2005

BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.,  
ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT  
1001 G STREET, N.W.  
ELEVENTH STREET  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597

EXAMINER

LY, ANH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2162

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/691,841

Applicant(s)

SAMJI ET AL.

Examiner

Anh Ly

Art Unit

2162

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/02/05 &amp; 8/22/05</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is response to Applicants' Amendment filed on 07/05/2005.
2. Claims 1-55 are pending in this application.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
5. Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No.: US 2003/0225796 A1 of Matsubara in view of Pub. No.: US 2002/0033844 A1 of Levy et al. (hereinafter Levy).

With respect to claim 1, Matsubara teaches creating on the sharer's computer a list with a plurality of referenced items (virtual directory containing a plurality of referenced items: abstract; access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete... can be based on the individuals or groups: see figs. 3 & 4, and sections 0043-0049); and

sharing the list with a sharee such that the sharee is provided with access to the referenced items (access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files: see fig. 2, system 100, sections 0043, 0062 and 0004-0011 and 0027).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete... can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach referenced items on the sharer's computer.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Levy teaches browsing directories of files in a local computer or across a network having a peer-to-peer file sharing system (sections 0047-0048, 0065 and 0190).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 2, Matsubara teaches wherein the list is a static list (virtual directory in the server for facilitating file management by users in the client systems: section 0011).

With respect to claim 3, Matsubara teaches wherein when the sharer removes items from the list, the sharee is no longer provided with access to the items (removing the user ID of the originating user of the file from the list: section 0080).

With respect to claim 4, Matsubara teaches wherein when items are added to the list, the sharee is provided with access to the added items (adding the user or file links to the file to be shared in the list: sections 0066 and 0070 and see fig. 9).

With respect to claims 5,6, and 7, Matsubara teaches a method for sharing items as discussed in claim 1.

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach wherein the list is a dynamic list with a scope and set of criteria for the referenced items and referenced items on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 8, Matsubara teaches wherein when the sharer is unable to grant access to the sharee for an item, a notification is provided to the sharer (see fig. 9 and section 0070).

With respect to claim 9, Matsubara teaches wherein the sharer is able to limit the type of access that the sharee has to the items (access control list and access rights: section 0043).

With respect to claim 10, Matsubara teaches receiving permission to access a list with referenced items (access control list giving the permission to access to the file: see fig. 11, item 1110 and section 0073); and

in response to receiving the permission to access the list, accessing the list and the referenced items (receiving the permission to access the file: see figs. 11 & 13, and sections 0073, 0079 and 0043).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach a list on the sharer's computer with referenced items.

However, Levy teaches browsing directories of files in a local computer or across a network having a peer-to-peer file sharing system (sections 0047-0048, 0065 and 0190).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 11, Matsubara teaches wherein the list is a static list that is formed as a virtual folder (virtual directory: sections 0011 and 0048).

With respect to claim 12, Matsubara teaches wherein when an item is added or removed from the list, the permission to access the item is correspondingly added or removed (removing the user ID of the originating user of the file from the list: section 0080; and adding the user or file links to the file to be shared in the list: sections 0066 and 0070 and see fig. 9).

With respect to claims 13 and 14, Matsubara teaches a method for sharing items as discussed in claim 10.

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the



Art Unit: 2162

Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach wherein the list is a dynamic list with a scope and set of criteria for the referenced items and referenced items on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 15, Matsubara teaches wherein when access to an item is unavailable, a notification is provided (see fig. 9 and section 0070).

With respect to claim 16, Matsubara teaches wherein the permission to access the list specifies the type of access that is available for the items (access control list and access rights: section 0043).

With respect to claim 17, Matsubara teaches a set of computer-usable instructions that cause a request to provide access to a set of items that are referenced in a virtual folder to be communicated to one or more other computer-program segments capable of executing said request (P2P file sharing system, a software or browser is installed for performing searches of the file properties, such as file name, file

type, file size and files can be downloaded directory from one computer to another computer , for selecting files: see fig. 1 and sections 0004-0012 and 0027; also see fig. 14, and section 0082; with access control list and access control to the file: section 0043 and virtual directory for file management by users: section 0011).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach a set of items that are referenced in a virtual folder on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches browsing directories of files in a local computer or across a network having a peer-to-peer file sharing system (sections 0047-0048, 0065 and 0190).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for

the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 18, Matsubara teaches wherein the virtual folder comprises a static list (virtual directory or virtual folder: sections 0011 and 0048).

With respect to claim 19, Matsubara teaches wherein when items are added or removed from the list, permission for the access of the items is correspondingly added or removed (removing the user ID of the originating user of the file from the list: section 0080; and adding the user or file links to the file to be shared in the list: sections 0066 and 0070 and see fig. 9).

With respect to claims 20-21, Matsubara teaches one or more computer-readable media as discussed in claim 17.

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach wherein the list is a dynamic list with a scope and set of criteria for the referenced items and referenced items on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 22, Matsubara teaches wherein when access to an item is unavailable, a notification is provided (see fig. 9 and section 0070).

With respect to claim 23, Matsubara teaches wherein the access to the items may be limited to be one of read/write or read only (access control list and access rights: section 0043).

With respect to claim 24, Matsubara teaches receiving from the sharee issues-a call for accessing on a computer of the sharer items that are referenced on the list, and responsive to authorization received from the sharer providing the sharee access to the items (P2P file sharing system, a software or browser is installed for performing searches of the file properties, such as file name, file type, file size and files can be downloaded directory from one computer to another computer , for selecting files: see fig. 1 and sections 0004-0012 and 0027; also see fig. 14, and section 0082; with access control list and access control to the file: section 0043 and virtual directory for file management by users: section 0011).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach wherein the list is stored on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches browsing directories of files in a local computer or across a network having a peer-to-peer file sharing system (sections 0047-0048, 0065 and 0190).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 25, Matsubara teaches wherein the list is a static list (virtual directory or virtual folder: sections 0011 and 0048).

With respect to claim 26, Matsubara teaches wherein as items are added or

removed from the list, the access to the items is correspondingly allowed or denied (removing the user ID of the originating user of the file from the list: section 0080; and adding the user or file links to the file to be shared in the list: sections 0066 and 0070 and see fig. 9).

With respect to claims 27 and 28, Matsubara teaches a method for sharing items as discussed in claim 24. Also Matsubara teaches a set of criteria for the items (searching the file properties and selecting the suitable criteria to the directory structure: sections 0007 and 0062-0067) and the properties of items change such that the items meet or no longer meet the criteria for the list, the items are correspondingly added or removed from the list (sections 0062-0067 and 0070).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach wherein the list is a dynamic list with a scope and set of criteria for the referenced items and referenced items on the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect claim 29, Matsubara teaches wherein when access may be unavailable to an item that is on the list when the list is shared, a notification is provided to the sharer (see fig. 9 and section 0070).

With respect to claim 30, Matsubara teaches wherein the sharer is able to limit the type of access to the items (access control list and access rights: section 0043).

With respect to claim 31, Matsubara teaches a set of computer-usable instructions that allow the sharee to access the items through the sharer's computer, wherein the list comprises an order of the items referenced on the list (P2P file sharing system, a software or browser is installed for performing searches of the file properties, such as file name, file type, file size and files can be downloaded directory from one computer to another computer, for selecting files: see fig. 1 and sections 0004-0012 and 0027; also see fig. 14, and section 0082; with access control list and access control to the file: section 0043 and virtual directory for file management by users: section 0011).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of

referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete... can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach access the items directly through the sharer's computer.

However, Levy teaches browsing directories of files in a local computer or across a network having a peer-to-peer file sharing system (sections 0047-0048, 0065 and 0190).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claims 32 and 33, Matsubara teaches one or more computer-readable media as discussed in claim 31. Also Matsubara teaches wherein the list is one of a static list (virtual directory: sections 0011 and 0048; also see abstract) and teaches wherein as items are added or removed from the list, the sharee's permission



to access the items is correspondingly added or removed (section 0073 and see figs. 7 and 11).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete... can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach a dynamic list.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 34, Matsubara teaches wherein when the sharer attempts to provide access to an item for which access may not be available, a notification is provided to the sharer that the sharee may not be able to access the item (see fig. 9 and section 0070).

With respect to claim 35, Matsubara teaches wherein the type of access that is provided to the items may be one of read or read-write (access control list, access control and access right: section 0043).

With respect to claim 36, Matsubara teaches creating on the sharer's computer a virtual folder with a plurality of referenced items, and sharing the virtual folder with a sharee such that the sharee is provided with access to the referenced items from the sharer's computer (in P2P file sharing system having a software component to create and maintain the virtual directory or virtual folder in the file management by users system: sections 0011 and 0048; P2P file sharing system, a software or browser is installed for performing searches of the file properties, such as file name, file type, file size and files can be downloaded directory from one computer to another computer, for selecting files: see fig. 1 and sections 0004-0012 and 0027; also see fig. 14, and section 0082; with access control list and access control to the file: section 0043).

With respect to claim 37, Matsubara teaches wherein the virtual folder is a static virtual folder (virtual directory; section 0011).

With respect to claim 38, Matsubara teaches wherein when the sharer removes items from the virtual folder, the sharee is no longer provided with access to the items (section 0073 and see figs. 7 and 11).

With respect to claim 39, Matsubara teaches wherein when items are added to the virtual folder, the sharee is provided with access to the added items (section 0073 and see figs. 7 and 11).

With respect to claims 40, 41 and 42, Matsubara teaches a method for sharing items as discussed in claim 36. Also Matsubara teaches a set of criteria for the referenced items (searching the file properties and selecting the suitable criteria to the directory structure: sections 0007 and 0062-0067) and a property change such that it no longer meets the criteria of the dynamic virtual folder, the sharee is no longer provided with access to the item (file properties: section 0005-0007, and 0062, virtual directory: section 0011 ).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete... can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach a dynamic list.

However, Levy teaches dynamic list of HTML files (section 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara

for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claims 43-44, 45-46, 47-48, Matsubara teaches wherein the creating step comprises defining within the list an order of the plurality of referenced items (access control list: sections 0043 and 0073, see fig. 11).

Matsubara teaches the file sharing system cooperate with the server system to facilitate manipulations to the virtual directory, which is containing a plurality of referenced items, access control list containing an ordered list of rules and providing to limit access to a file and access control such as read, write, modify delete...can be based on the individuals or groups and access control defining the individuals or groups of individual being had access capability to access the file, sharing the file over the Internet network for peer-to-peer file sharing based on the ACL and access rights to the shared files. Matsubara does not clearly teach the list annotation corresponding to at least one of the plurality of referenced items. .

However, Levy teaches annotation for objects or items in the browsered directory (sections 0026, 0028-0029 and 0051).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Matsubara with the teachings of Levy. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of a dynamic list of files on the sharable directory over the network in the P2P environment (Levy's sections 0047-0048 and 0190), into the system of Matsubara

for the purpose of searching objects or files in the P2P file sharing system (Levy's section 0065).

With respect to claim 49, Matsubara teaches wherein the sharee is provided with remote access to the referenced items from another computer (see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 50, Matsubara teaches wherein accessing the list and the referenced items is performed remotely from another computer (see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 51, Matsubara teaches wherein the request to provide access comprises a request to provide remote access from another computer (see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 52, Matsubara teaches wherein providing the sharee access to the items comprises providing the sharee remote access to the items (see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 53, Matsubara teaches wherein the receiving step comprises receiving the call via an API (browser in windows-based interfaces; section 0033-0034).

With respect to claim 54, Matsubara teaches wherein the set of computer-usable instructions allow the sharee to remotely access the items (P2P file sharing Internet network environment: section 0004-0012 and abstract, see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 55, Matsubara teaches wherein in the sharing step the sharee is provided with remote access to the referenced items (P2P file sharing Internet network environment: section 0004-0012 and abstract, see fig. 1).


### Contact Information


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV or fax to **(571) 273-4039**. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or **Primary Examiner Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: **Central Fax Center (571) 273-8300**

ANH LY   
SEP. 9<sup>th</sup>, 2005

  
JEAN M. CORRIELUS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER